### MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Requestor Name Respondent Name

Deepak Chavda, M.D. Travelers Indemnity Company of Connecticut

MFDR Tracking Number Carrier's Austin Representative

M4-16-1252-01 Box Number 5

**MFDR Date Received** 

January 11, 2016

# **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "We must follow the requirements of the fee guidelines set by the state of Texas and in this case, we did submit our charges to you within the time frame allowed. We have enclosed a **Mail Log** of the billing records which is proof that the charges were billed on time. This is a permanent record in our billing system and cannot be modified. This log follows §21.816 **Date of Receipt of the Texas Department of Insurance.**"

Amount in Dispute: \$1901.00

### **RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY**

Respondent's Position Summary: "... the Provider contends the medical bill was submitted to United States Postal Service, but has no evidence to support that submission date. The date on the only HCFA-1500 submitted by the Provider is 10-02-2015. The Carrier submits with its Response the original medical bill received by facsimile on 07-22-2015. The signature date of that HCFA-1500 is 04-16-2015. As the bill was received by fax, the submission date per Rule 102.4(h)(1) is the date of the fax or 07-22-2015. As this date is 117 days after the date of service, the Carrier contends the medical bill in dispute was not timely submitted."

**Response Submitted by:** Travelers

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
March 27, 2015	Required Medical Examination	\$1901.00	\$0.00

#### FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

## **Background**

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.

- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20 sets out medical bill submission procedures for health care providers.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4 sets out the procedures for non-division communications.
- 4. Texas Labor Code §408.027 sets out provisions related to payment of health care providers.
- 5. Texas Labor Code §408.0272 provides for certain exceptions to untimely submission of a medical claim.
- 6. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
  - 29 The time limit for filing has expired.
  - 937 Services not timely filed by the provider
  - W3 Additional payment made on appeal/reconsideration.

#### <u>Issues</u>

- 1. What is the timely filing deadline applicable to the medical bills for the services in dispute?
- 2. Did the requestor forfeit the right to reimbursement for the services in dispute?

## **Findings**

1. The insurance carrier denied the disputed services with claim adjustment reason codes: 29 – "THE TIME LIMIT FOR FILING HAS EXPIRED."; and 937 – "SERVICES NOT TIMELY FILED BY THE PROVIDER." 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.20(b) requires that, except as provided in Texas Labor Code §408.0272, "a health care provider shall not submit a medical bill later than the 95th day after the date the services are provided." Texas Labor Code §408.0272(b) provides that:

Notwithstanding Section 408.027, a health care provider who fails to timely submit a claim for payment to the insurance carrier under Section 408.027(a) does not forfeit the provider's right to reimbursement for that claim for payment solely for failure to submit a timely claim if:

- (1) the provider submits proof satisfactory to the commissioner that the provider, within the period prescribed by Section 408.027(a), erroneously filed for reimbursement with:
  - (A) an insurer that issues a policy of group accident and health insurance under which the injured employee is a covered insured;
  - (B) a health maintenance organization that issues an evidence of coverage under which the injured employee is a covered enrollee; or
  - (C) a workers' compensation insurance carrier other than the insurance carrier liable for the payment of benefits under this title; or
- (2) the commissioner determines that the failure resulted from a catastrophic event that substantially interfered with the normal business operations of the provider.

No documentation was found to support that any of the exceptions described in Texas Labor Code §408.0272 apply to the services in this dispute. For that reason, the health care provider was required to submit the medical bill not later than 95 days after the date the disputed services were provided.

- 2. Texas Labor Code §408.027(a) states that "Failure by the health care provider to timely submit a claim for payment constitutes a forfeiture of the provider's right to reimbursement for that claim for payment." The requestor stated in their position statement that the submitted documentation is in compliance with "§21.816 Date of Receipt of the Texas Department of Insurance." However, procedures for non-division communications are regulated in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4, which states, in relevant part, that:
  - (h) Unless the great weight of evidence indicates otherwise, written communications shall be deemed to have been sent on:
    - (1) the date received, if sent by fax, personal delivery or electronic transmission or,
    - (2) the date postmarked if sent by mail via United States Postal Service regular mail, or, if the postmark date is unavailable, the later of the signature date on the written communication or the date it was received minus five days. If the date received minus five days is a Sunday or legal holiday, the date deemed sent shall be the next previous day which is not a Sunday or legal holiday.

Review of the submitted information finds no documentation in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4 to support that a medical bill was submitted within 95 days from the date the services were provided. Consequently, the requestor has forfeited the right to reimbursement due to untimely submission of the medical bill, pursuant to Texas Labor Code §408.027(a).

### **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

#### **ORDER**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

# **Authorized Signature**

	Laurie Garnes	February 10, 2016	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

#### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.